

# Default Report

2019-2020 College Planning Committee Evaluation

April 15, 2020 10:29 AM PDT

Q1 - Committee Charge: The College Planning Committee (CPC) is a governance committee that makes recommendations to the College President. CPC is responsible for developing the Educational Master Plan and Strategic Implementation Plan, as well as evaluating progress towards the goals of each of these plans. CPC receives regular updates on the Facilities Master Plan, and the Technology Master Plan, and also oversees and evaluates the program review and program viability processes. CPC receives recommendations from the Budget Resource Committee, Guided Pathways Committee, Student Success Committee, and the Accreditation Steering Advisory Group. Recommendations from these committees are reviewed and voted on by CPC before being sent to the College President to ensure that the recommendations align with the Educational Master Plan, and that all relevant processes and procedures have been followed. Does the business of the committee reflect the committee charge?

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	100.00% 11
2	No	0.00% 0

11

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

## Q2 - Please explain:

Please explain:

---

I know that we have been working on the Strategic Implement plan, we would meet up once a month or so on Friday.

This committee has been found to be very straight forward, believing in it's charge.

### Q3 - Goal 1: Evaluate progress towards the completion of the 2017-2020 Strategic Plan.

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Met goal	90.91% 10
2	Did not meet goal	0.00% 0
3	Unsure	9.09% 1
		11

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

## Q4 - Comments:

Comments:

---

The taskforce has done a great job documenting VC progress toward achieving our Strategic Planning Goals.

I was only on CPC for one semester and then after that I would only attend Friday's meetings due to my work schedule.

Have in some areas, and still working on more

Q14 - Goal 2: Develop transparent and systematic program viability process that aligns with our 3-year comprehensive program review cycle and AP 4021.

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Met goal	27.27% 3
2	Did not meet goal	36.36% 4
3	Unsure	36.36% 4
		11

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

## Q15 - Comments:

Comments:

---

This goal is in process and I am sure will be carried over next year.

I believe a subgroup is working on this, but I don't think it is completed.

In process! so perhaps goal hasn't been met, but we are working towards meeting it. Good progress either way!

The task force is working on it but it will need more time than we had left in the academic year. It appears that they are wisely considering multiple factors in developing the plan.

Work has started, but there is still a ways to go.

Taskforce created to oversee this

Meeting of goal is in progress. Considerable work has been done.

Q16 - Goal 3: Continue to evaluate and refine the program review process, including the development of an out-of-cycle resource request procedure.

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Met goal	54.55% 6
2	Did not meet goal	27.27% 3
3	Unsure	18.18% 2
		11

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

## Q17 - Comments:

Comments:

---

The out-of-cycle resource request procedure is in the process of being developed. Draft will be presented to CPC Committee this spring semester.

We did discuss the PR process, but I do not know of an out-of-cycle request procedure. Perhaps that will be presented at the April meeting.

This has been discussed but a process has not yet been defined.

Did evaluate and refine process. Out of cycle resource request procedure has yet to be fully developed.



## Q18 - Goal 4: Develop and implement standardized committee co-chair training

regarding the Participatory Governance Handbook.

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Met goal	63.64% 7
2	Did not meet goal	9.09% 1
3	Unsure	27.27% 3
		11

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

## Q19 - Comments:

Comments:

---

Again, this goal like the two above are in process with planned training scheduled for fall semester.

We continue working on this

Not sure where we are at with this.

## Q5 - Is the committee environment supportive and encouraging of input on relevant issues?

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Always	81.82% 9
2	Usually	18.18% 2
3	Sometimes	0.00% 0
4	Rarely	0.00% 0
5	Never	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

## Q6 - Please explain:

Please explain:

---

Good input at the beginning of the year when working on CPC charge and president recommendation form.

Everyone is straightforward on information and clarification

## Q7 - Are the issues brought to the committee effectively addressed in a timely manner?

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Always	81.82% 9
2	Usually	18.18% 2
3	Sometimes	0.00% 0
4	Rarely	0.00% 0
5	Never	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

## Q8 - Please explain:

Please explain:

---

Everything on the agenda and public comments are brought on a timely manner

Q9 - To what degree do you agree with the following statement: "In this committee, I felt like my ideas were recognized and appreciated"?

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Strongly agree	72.73% 8
2	Agree	18.18% 2
3	Neither agree nor disagree	9.09% 1
4	Disagree	0.00% 0
5	Strongly disagree	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

## Q10 - Please explain:

Please explain:

---

some things are taken into consideration, and some aren't due to self lack of internal knowledge on certain things and processes



Q11 - To what degree do you agree with the following statement: "I feel united with the other members of the committee in our pursuit of a better campus"?

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Strongly agree	54.55% 6
2	Agree	45.45% 5
3	Neither agree nor disagree	0.00% 0
4	Disagree	0.00% 0
5	Strongly disagree	0.00% 0
		11

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

## Q12 - Please explain:

Please explain:

---

everyone on this committee shares this pursuit

Q13 - What improvements should be made for this committee to function more effectively? For example, items for future consideration by the committee, agenda items that need completion, and/or suggested changes.

What improvements should be made for this committee to function more effect...

---

Hard to say whether or not some goals would have been completed if the semester was not interrupted by the pandemic.

N/A - Our administrative co-chair and his administrative assistant are a dream team!

Great committee to be a part of.

Look more into how recommenders in the Program Review Process are able to better explain their requests for future ranking and consideration

**End of Report**