# Spring 2016 - Report on Academic Performance of Supplemental Instruction Students 

## - Summary

This report presents course outcomes data related to students enrolled in spring 2016 sections that provided supplemental instruction (SI). SI in spring 2016 was funded through the college's BSI Grant, Title V Velocidad Grant, and other sources.

- SI was offered in 62 sections ( $\mathbf{2 2}$ courses).
- Of the $\underline{\mathbf{2 , 4 2 8}}$ graded students in SI sections, $\underline{\mathbf{7 6 3}} \mathbf{( \underline { \mathbf { 3 1 } } \% ) \text { received SI services. }}$

Three methods for assessing the success of SI are discussed in this report. All of the metrics are based on final grades, with successful or non-successful completion as the outcome variable. A successful completion is defined as a final grade of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{P}$.

- Success in SI Sections versus Success in Non-SI Sections (Pages 2-4)

This measure compares the overall success rate in all $\mathbf{S I}$ sections of a course to the overall success rate in all non-SI sections of the course. A chart and a data table appear on pages 2-4.

## - Success Rates within SI Sections (Page 5 and 6)

Students in SI sections are afforded the opportunity to receive academic assistance from an SI Leader. This metric compares success rates of students who received SI assistance to success rates of students who did not receive SI assistance. Data tables are presented on pages 5 and 6.

## - Success Rates in SI Sections - By Number of SI Contacts (Pages 7 and 8)

In this assessment, success rates in SI sections are computed as a function of the number of contacts that students have with an SI Leader (SI contacts). Of the three metrics presented in this report, this one provides the best evidence that SI is contributing to successful course completions.

Student Demographics: Success rates by student demographics are presented on page 7.

## Success Rates by Number of SI Contacts

The tables below show success rate data for spring 2016 and spring 2015. In both terms, students who had six or more contacts with SI Leaders had significantly higher success rates than students with five or less SI contacts. Unfortunately, only 7\% of the students in spring 2106 SI classes had six or more contacts with SI Leaders (in spring 2015, it was 20\%).

Spring 2016

| Number of <br> SI Contacts | Students |  | Success |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1,665 | 1,161 | $69.7 \%$ |
| 1 to 5 | 594 | 464 | $78.1 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 | 102 | 88 | $86.3 \%$ |
| 11 to 15 | 29 | 26 | $89.7 \%$ |
| 16 to 20 | 16 | 14 | $87.5 \%$ |
| 21 to 29 | 17 | 17 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 30 or more | 5 | 5 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 \%}$ |
| 0 to 5 |  | 2,259 | 1,625 |
| 6 or more | 169 | 150 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Difference in percentage points |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9} 9$.

Spring 2015

| Number of <br> SI Contacts | Students |  | Success |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1,016 | 576 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| 1 to 5 | 481 | 315 | $\mathbf{6 5 . 5 \%}$ |
| 6 to 10 | 125 | 99 | $\mathbf{7 9 . 2 \%}$ |
| 11 to 15 | 87 | 69 | $\mathbf{7 9 . 3} \%$ |
| 16 to 20 | 20 | 12 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| 21 to 29 | 28 | 20 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 4 \%}$ |
| 30 or more | 107 | 68 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 6 \%}$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 2 \%}$ |
| 0 to 5 | 1,497 | 891 | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5 \%}$ |
| 6 or more | 367 | 268 | $\mathbf{7 3 . 0 \%}$ |
| Difference in percentage points |  | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5}$ |  |
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## ■ Success in SI Sections versus Success in Non-SI Sections

The chart below and table (pages 3 and 4) present success rates and grades distributions for two sets of courses: SI Sections (sections in which SI was offered) and Non-SI Sections (sections in which SI was not offered). Differences between success rates are rendered in percentage points.
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SI Sections versus Non-SI Sections - Success Rates and Grades Distributions


## Continued on following page
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SI Sections versus Non-SI Sections - Success Rates and Grades Distributions
(Begins on previous page)

| Course ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { SI } \\ \text { Status } \end{gathered}$ | Total Cnt | Successful |  | Grades Distributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Num. | Rate | A | B | C | P | D | F | NP | W |
| MATH V13B | Yes | 37 | 28 | 75.7\% | 5 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 4 |
|  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH V20 | Yes | 104 | 55 | 52.9\% | 26 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 22 |  | 22 |
|  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH V21A | Yes | 158 | 90 | 57.0\% | 33 | 33 | 24 |  | 12 | 17 |  | 39 |
|  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH V44 | Yes | 90 | 51 | 56.7\% | 17 | 15 | 19 |  | 3 | 6 |  | 30 |
| MATH V44 | No | 496 | 344 | 69.4\% | 144 | 105 | 95 |  | 28 | 50 |  | 74 |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | -12.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MICR V01 | Yes | 65 | 52 | 80.0\% | 19 | 24 | 9 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 9 |
| MICR V01 | No | 52 | 49 | 94.2\% | 25 | 16 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | -14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHSO V01 | Yes | 85 | 77 | 90.6\% | 28 | 36 | 13 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 5 |
| PHSO V01 | No | 132 | 87 | 65.9\% | 27 | 26 | 34 |  | 6 | 13 |  | 26 |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | 24.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| POLS V01 | Yes | 206 | 156 | 75.7\% | 60 | 64 | 32 |  | 23 | 18 |  | 9 |
| POLS V01 | No | 430 | 316 | 73.5\% | 152 | 89 | 74 | 1 | 9 | 32 |  | 73 |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total SI * | Yes | 2,089 | 1,566 | 75.0\% | 584 | 532 | 408 | 42 | 132 | 159 | 7 | 225 |
| Total Non-SI | No | 4,723 | 2,886 | 61.1\% | 1,027 | 918 | 843 | 98 | 396 | 498 | 24 | 919 |
| Difference: SI minus Non-SI |  |  |  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Total SI excludes the following courses, since all sections of these courses were offered as SI.

| Course | Total Count | Successful |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ANTH V04 | 7 | 6 |
| CHEM V21 | 33 | 30 |
| MATH V13B | 37 | 28 |
| MATH V20 | 104 | 55 |
| MATH V21A | 158 | 90 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9}$ |

Spring 2016 grades were extracted from Banner on May 31, 2016.
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## - Success Rates within SI Sections

Students in SI sections have the opportunity to receive academic assistance from the SI Leader assigned to their class. In the table below, the success rates of students receiving SI services are compared to the students who did not participate in SI (Non-SI students).

In SI sections, the overall success rate for SI students was $\underline{16 \%}$ higher than that of Non-SI students.
Group Success Rate Percent Difference Computation

Students participating in $\mathbf{S I}$ Students not participating in SI Difference

70\%
11 points

16\%
$(11 \div 70) \times 100$

| Course Information |  |  |  | Total Students |  | Non-SI Students |  |  | SI Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total N | Successful |  | Total N | Successful |  |
| Course ID | CRN | Units | Instructor |  | N | S/R* |  | N | Rate | N | Rate |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 35 | 71\% | 30 | 20 | 67\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 43 | 79\% | 39 | 30 | 77\% | 4 | 4 | 100\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 24 | 67\% | 21 | 13 | 62\% | 3 | 3 | 100\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 16 | 63\% | 12 | 7 | 58\% | 4 | 3 | 75\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 56 | 86\% | 55 | 47 | 85\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 22 | 77\% | 22 | 17 | 77\% |  |  |  |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 27 | 96\% | 22 | 21 | 95\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| ANTH V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 17 | 94\% | 16 | 15 | 94\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | Is and | verall | Success Rates | 240 | 80\% | 217 | 170 | 78\% | 23 | 22 | 96\% |
| ANTH V04 |  | 3.0 |  | 7 | 86\% | 6 | 5 | 83\% | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
| BIOL V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 47 | 60\% | 36 | 20 | 56\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| CHEM V01A |  | 3.0 |  | 85 | 85\% | 69 | 57 | 83\% | 16 | 15 | 94\% |
| CHEM V01A |  | 3.0 |  | 81 | 65\% | 52 | 33 | 63\% | 29 | 20 | 69\% |
|  | Is and | verall | Success Rates | 166 | 75\% | 121 | 90 | 74\% | 45 | 35 | 78\% |
| CHEM V20 |  | 4.0 |  | 55 | 53\% | 42 | 25 | 60\% | 13 | 4 | 31\% |
| CHEM V20 |  | 4.0 |  | 53 | 81\% | 33 | 26 | 79\% | 20 | 17 | 85\% |
|  | ls and | verall | Success Rates | 108 | 67\% | 75 | 51 | 68\% | 33 | 21 | 64\% |
| CHEM V21 |  | 3.0 |  | 33 | 91\% | 19 | 16 | 84\% | 14 | 14 | 100\% |
| ECON V01A |  | 3.0 |  | 80 | 78\% | 46 | 33 | 72\% | 34 | 29 | 85\% |
| ECON V01B |  | 3.0 |  | 82 | 87\% | 44 | 34 | 77\% | 38 | 37 | 97\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 30 | 77\% | 17 | 12 | 71\% | 13 | 11 | 85\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 28 | 79\% | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 26 | 22 | 85\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 27 | 67\% | 19 | 11 | 58\% | 8 | 7 | 88\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 28 | 75\% | 22 | 15 | 68\% | 6 | 6 | 100\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 29 | 66\% | 14 | 8 | 57\% | 15 | 11 | 73\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 30 | 67\% | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 29 | 20 | 69\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 24 | 54\% | 13 | 5 | 38\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 27 | 93\% | 22 | 20 | 91\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| ENGL V02 |  | 5.0 |  | 28 | 96\% | 12 | 11 | 92\% | 16 | 16 | 100\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 251 | 75\% | 122 | 82 | 67\% | 129 | 106 | 82\% |
| ENGL V03 |  | 5.0 |  | 27 | 81\% |  |  |  | 27 | 22 | 81\% |
| ENGL V03 |  | 5.0 |  | 22 | 91\% | 14 | 12 | 86\% | 8 | 8 | 100\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 49 | 86\% | 14 | 12 | 86\% | 35 | 30 | 86\% |

## Continued on the following page
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| Course Information |  |  |  | Total Students |  | Non-SI Students |  |  | SI Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total N | Successful |  | Total N | Successful |  |
| Course ID | CRN | Units | Instructor |  | N | S/R* |  | N | Rate | N | Rate |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 59 | 81\% | 54 | 43 | 80\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 69 | 71\% | 67 | 47 | 70\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 70 | 70\% | 58 | 39 | 67\% | 12 | 10 | 83\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 60 | 78\% | 39 | 27 | 69\% | 21 | 20 | 95\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 32 | 78\% | 30 | 24 | 80\% | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 53 | 81\% | 51 | 41 | 80\% | 2 | 2 | 100\% |
| GEOG V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 47 | 72\% | 42 | 29 | 69\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 390 | 76\% | 341 | 250 | 73\% | 49 | 45 | 92\% |
| MATH V01 |  | 5.0 |  | 35 | 69\% | 24 | 16 | 67\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| MATH V03 |  | 5.0 |  | 29 | 69\% | 18 | 12 | 67\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| MATH V03 |  | 5.0 |  | 27 | 70\% | 27 | 19 | 70\% |  |  |  |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 56 | 70\% | 45 | 31 | 69\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| MATH V04 |  | 4.0 |  | 40 | 70\% | 40 | 28 | 70\% | 31 | 21 | 68\% |
| MATH V04 |  | 4.0 |  | 41 | 51\% | 10 | 0 | 0\% | 31 | 21 | 68\% |
| MATH V04 |  | 4.0 |  | 39 | 67\% | 27 | 16 | 59\% | 12 | 10 | 83\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 120 | 63\% | 77 | 44 | 57\% | 43 | 31 | 72\% |
| MATH V12 |  | 3.0 |  | 19 | 89\% | 13 | 11 | 85\% | 6 | 6 | 100\% |
| MATH V13B |  | 3.0 |  | 37 | 76\% | 32 | 23 | 72\% | 5 | 5 | 100\% |
| MATH V20 |  | 5.0 |  | 37 | 68\% | 23 | 15 | 65\% | 14 | 10 | 71\% |
| MATH V20 |  | 5.0 |  | 42 | 48\% | 18 | 6 | 33\% | 24 | 14 | 58\% |
| MATH V20 |  | 5.0 |  | 25 | 40\% | 3 | 0 | 0\% | 22 | 10 | 45\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 104 | 53\% | 44 | 21 | 48\% | 60 | 34 | 57\% |
| MATH V21A |  | 5.0 |  | 43 | 60\% | 27 | 13 | 48\% | 16 | 13 | 81\% |
| MATH V21A |  | 5.0 |  | 38 | 39\% | 18 | 6 | 33\% | 20 | 9 | 45\% |
| MATH V21A |  | 5.0 |  | 33 | 55\% | 26 | 14 | 54\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% |
| MATH V21A |  | 5.0 |  | 44 | 70\% | 36 | 25 | 69\% | 8 | 6 | 75\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 158 | 57\% | 107 | 58 | 54\% | 51 | 32 | 63\% |
| MATH V44 |  | 4.0 |  | 35 | 74\% | 25 | 17 | 68\% | 10 | 9 | 90\% |
| MATH V44 |  | 4.0 |  | 17 | 53\% | 14 | 6 | 43\% | 3 | 3 | 100\% |
| MATH V44 |  | 4.0 |  | 38 | 42\% | 21 | 6 | 29\% | 17 | 10 | 59\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 90 | 57\% | 60 | 29 | 48\% | 30 | 22 | 73\% |
| MICR V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 22 | 82\% | 12 | 9 | 75\% | 10 | 9 | 90\% |
| MICR V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 25 | 80\% | 10 | 7 | 70\% | 15 | 13 | 87\% |
| MICR V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 18 | 78\% | 10 | 8 | 80\% | 8 | 6 | 75\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 65 | 80\% | 32 | 24 | 75\% | 33 | 28 | 85\% |
| PHSO V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 32 | 97\% | 11 | 11 | 100\% | 21 | 20 | 95\% |
| PHSO V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 27 | 89\% | 7 | 5 | 71\% | 20 | 19 | 95\% |
| PHSO V01 |  | 4.0 |  | 26 | 85\% | 12 | 8 | 67\% | 14 | 14 | 100\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 85 | 91\% | 30 | 24 | 80\% | 55 | 53 | 96\% |
| POLS V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 72 | 78\% | 53 | 39 | 74\% | 19 | 17 | 89\% |
| POLS V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 70 | 79\% | 58 | 45 | 78\% | 12 | 10 | 83\% |
| POLS V01 |  | 3.0 |  | 64 | 70\% | 49 | 33 | 67\% | 15 | 12 | 80\% |
| Totals and Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 206 | 76\% | 160 | 117 | 73\% | 46 | 39 | 85\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals \& Overall Success Rates |  |  |  | 2,428 | 73\% | 1,665 | 1,161 | 70\% | 763 | 614 | 81\% |

* S/R = Success Rate for a specific course section (CRN) or group of courses.


## - Success Rates in SI Sections - By Demographics

| Student Demographics | Total Student Enrollments |  | Non-SI Participants |  |  | SI Participants |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total Num. | Successful |  | Total Num. | Successful |  |
|  | Num. | Percnt |  | Number | Rate |  | Number | Rate |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 127 | 5.2\% | 89 | 65 | 73.0\% | 38 | 34 | 89.5\% |
| Black | 68 | 2.8\% | 52 | 41 | 78.8\% | 16 | 12 | 75.0\% |
| Hispanic | 1,493 | 61.5\% | 1,005 | 672 | 66.9\% | 488 | 382 | 78.3\% |
| Native American | 8 | 0.3\% | 5 | 5 | 100.0\% | 3 | 2 | 66.7\% |
| Pacific Islander | 4 | 0.2\% | 2 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Multi- Ethnic | 92 | 3.8\% | 63 | 45 | 71.4\% | 29 | 24 | 82.8\% |
| White | 617 | 25.4\% | 433 | 324 | 74.8\% | 184 | 155 | 84.2\% |
| Unreported | 19 | 0.8\% | 16 | 9 | 56.3\% | 3 | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Totals and Rates | 2,428 | 100.0\% | 1,665 | 1,161 | 69.7\% | 763 | 614 | 80.5\% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,263 | 52.0\% | 835 | 586 | 70.2\% | 428 | 361 | 84.3\% |
| Male | 1,132 | 46.6\% | 806 | 555 | 68.9\% | 326 | 245 | 75.2\% |
| Unreported | 33 | 1.4\% | 24 | 20 | 83.3\% | 9 | 8 | 88.9\% |
| Totals and Rates | 2,428 | 100.0\% | 1,665 | 1,161 | 69.7\% | 763 | 614 | 80.5\% |
| Age (in years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 or younger | 66 | 2.7\% | 46 | 39 | 84.8\% | 20 | 20 | 100.0\% |
| 18-22 | 1,707 | 70.3\% | 1,190 | 830 | 69.7\% | 517 | 409 | 79.1\% |
| 23-29 | 453 | 18.7\% | 315 | 211 | 67.0\% | 138 | 110 | 79.7\% |
| 30-39 | 125 | 5.1\% | 71 | 55 | 77.5\% | 54 | 44 | 81.5\% |
| 40 or older | 77 | 3.2\% | 43 | 26 | 60.5\% | 34 | 31 | 91.2\% |
| Totals and Rates | 2,428 | 100.0\% | 1,665 | 1,161 | 69.7\% | 763 | 614 | 80.5\% |

## Success Rates in SI Sections - By Number of SI Contacts

The tables in this section present data related to success rates based on the number of SI contacts. Tables A and B display summary data for all sections in which SI was offered. Table C (page 8) provides success rates by course and number of SI contacts (i.e., $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{5}$ contacts versus $\mathbf{6}$ or more contacts).

## Table A. All Students in SI sections

| Number of SI Contacts | Students |  | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Success |  |
| 0 | 1,665 | 1,161 | 69.7\% |
| 1 to 5 | 594 | 464 | 78.1\% |
| 6 to 10 | 102 | 88 | 86.3\% |
| 11 to 15 | 29 | 26 | 89.7\% |
| 16 to 20 | 16 | 14 | 87.5\% |
| 21 to 29 | 17 | 17 | 100.0\% |
| 30 or more | 5 | 5 | 100.0\% |
| Totals | 2,428 | 1,775 | 73.1\% |
| 0 to 5 | 2,259 | 1,625 | 71.9\% |
| 6 or more | 169 | 150 | 88.8\% |
| Difference in percentage points |  |  | 16.9 |

## Table B. Hispanic Students in SI Sections

| Number of <br> SI Contacts | Students |  | Success |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 Total | Success | Rate |  |
| 0 | 1,005 | 672 | $66.9 \%$ |
| 1 to 5 | 383 | 291 | $76.0 \%$ |
| 6 to 10 | 59 | 49 | $83.1 \%$ |
| 11 to 15 | 21 | 19 | $90.5 \%$ |
| 16 to 20 | 12 | 10 | $83.3 \%$ |
| 21 to 29 | 9 | 9 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 30 or more | 4 | 4 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 6 \%}$ |
| 0 to 5 | 1,388 | 963 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 4 \%}$ |
| 6 or more | 105 | 91 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| Difference in percentage points |  |  |  |
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Table C. Students in SI Sections: Summary of Success by Course and Number of SI Contacts

| Courses | All Students |  |  | 0 to 5 SI Contacts |  | 6/more SI Contacts |  | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Studnts | Successful |  | Total Studnts | A <br> Success <br> Rate | Total Studnts | B <br> Success <br> Rate |  | Percent <br> Differ* |
|  |  | Count | Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANTH V01 | 240 | 192 | 80.0\% | 235 | 79.6\% | 5 | 100.0\% | 20.4 | 25.7\% |
| ANTH V04 | 7 | 6 | 85.7\% | 7 | 85.7\% | 0 |  |  |  |
| BIOL V01 | 47 | 28 | 59.6\% | 39 | 53.8\% | 8 | 87.5\% | 33.7 | 62.5\% |
| CHEM V01A | 166 | 125 | 75.3\% | 157 | 74.5\% | 9 | 88.9\% | 14.4 | 19.3\% |
| CHEM V20 | 108 | 72 | 66.7\% | 100 | 66.0\% | 8 | 75.0\% | 9.0 | 13.6\% |
| CHEM V21 | 33 | 30 | 90.9\% | 30 | 90.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 10.0 | 11.1\% |
| ECON V01A | 80 | 62 | 77.5\% | 74 | 75.7\% | 6 | 100.0\% | 24.3 | 32.1\% |
| ECON V01B | 82 | 71 | 86.6\% | 74 | 85.1\% | 8 | 100.0\% | 14.9 | 17.5\% |
| ENGL V02 | 251 | 188 | 74.9\% | 234 | 74.8\% | 17 | 76.5\% | 1.7 | 2.3\% |
| ENGL V03 | 49 | 42 | 85.7\% | 43 | 83.7\% | 6 | 100.0\% | 16.3 | 19.4\% |
| GEOG V01 | 390 | 295 | 75.6\% | 376 | 75.0\% | 14 | 92.9\% | 17.9 | 23.8\% |
| MATH V01 | 35 | 24 | 68.6\% | 33 | 66.7\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 33.3 | 50.0\% |
| MATH V03 | 56 | 39 | 69.6\% | 54 | 70.4\% | 2 | 50.0\% | -20.4 | -28.9\% |
| MATH V04 | 120 | 75 | 62.5\% | 113 | 60.2\% | 7 | 100.0\% | 39.8 | 66.2\% |
| MATH V12 | 19 | 17 | 89.5\% | 16 | 87.5\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 12.5 | 14.3\% |
| MATH V13B | 37 | 28 | 75.7\% | 36 | 75.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 25.0 | 33.3\% |
| MATH V20 | 104 | 55 | 52.9\% | 88 | 47.7\% | 16 | 81.3\% | 33.5 | 70.2\% |
| MATH V21A | 158 | 90 | 57.0\% | 146 | 56.8\% | 12 | 58.3\% | 1.5 | 2.6\% |
| MATH V44 | 90 | 51 | 56.7\% | 79 | 51.9\% | 11 | 90.9\% | 39.0 | 75.2\% |
| MICR V01 | 65 | 52 | 80.0\% | 57 | 77.2\% | 8 | 100.0\% | 22.8 | 29.5\% |
| PHSO V01 | 85 | 77 | 90.6\% | 76 | 89.5\% | 9 | 100.0\% | 10.5 | 11.8\% |
| POLS V01 | 206 | 156 | 75.7\% | 192 | 74.0\% | 14 | 100.0\% | 26.0 | 35.2\% |
| Totals | 2,428 | 1,775 | 73.1\% | 2,259 | 71.9\% | 169 | 88.8\% | 16.9 | 23.5\% |

* Percent Differ: ((B minus A) divided by A) times 100


## Notes Related to Success by Course and Number of SI Contacts (Table C)

1. Except for MATH V03, success rates for students with " $6 /$ more SI Contacts" were higher than the success rates for students with "0 to 5 SI Contacts."
2. Five courses (in light yellow) had four or less students in the " $6 /$ more SI Contacts" category.
3. Of the $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 8}$ students in SI classes, only 169 received six or more SI contacts ( $\mathbf{7 \%}$ of students).
4. The overall difference in success rates between students with "0 to 5 SI Contacts" and students with " $6 / \mathrm{more} \mathrm{SI}$ Contacts" is $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ percentage points or $\underline{\mathbf{2 3 . 5} \%}$.
