## - INTRODUCTION

It has been five years since outcomes data (course placements) related to the VC Mathematics Assessment Tests were last reviewed for predictive efficacy. This empirical re-validation study is focused on two specific areas:

Appropriateness of Cut Scores
Disproportionate Impact as it relates to Ethnicity
Within two years, Ventura College will be using CCCAssess for recommending placements in Mathematics courses. At that time, new validation studies will be conducted.

## - SUMMARY

Data in this study relate to:
Students who took a VC Assessment Test between May 20, 2014 and August 31, 2015
Students who were enrolled in one of six fall 2015 VC MATH courses
Ventura College uses four of the MDTP (Mathematics Diagnostic Testing Project) Assessment Tests:
ALG: Algebra Readiness; EALG: Elementary Algebra; IALG: Intermediate Algebra; PCAL: Pre-Calculus. For some courses, scores on either of two tests are used for recommended placement in courses.

## - Appropriateness of Cut Scores

In fall 2015, VC offered instruction in $\mathbf{2 0}$ MATH courses. The six highest enrolled courses are the subjects of this portion of the study. Student course outcomes (success/failure) are compared to recommended placements based on Math Assessment Test scores. The table below indicates course success rates as a function of placement - i.e., whether students were placed in, or below, the course as a result of their Math Assessment Test scores.

## The Standards, Policies and Procedures for the Evaluation of Assessment Instruments Used in the CCC states:

As related to empirical procedures, at a minimum the data should demonstrate that individuals who score above the cut score or within the score range identified have a greater expectancy of success (e.g., appear to be more prepared for the course based on instructor ratings, or a mid-term grade, or obtaining a C grade or higher) in a specific course for which placement recommendations are made than those who score below the score or score range. (Page 22)
For all six MATH courses, it was found that (for at least one of the tests used to assess course preparedness) the success rates of students placed in the course exceeded the success rates of students who had been placed below the course. (Success rate is the percentage of A, B, C, and P grades divided by all grades.)

| Fall 2015 <br> Course | Math <br> Assess <br> Test | Placed in the Course |  |  | Placed Below the Course |  |  | Diff. in <br> Success <br> Rates | Are Cut Scores Adequate? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Success | Success <br> Rate | Enrolled | Success | Success Rate |  |  |
| MATH V10 | ALG | 113 | 161 | 70.2\% | 80 | 46 | 57.5\% | 12.7 | Yes |
| MATH V01 | ALG | 93 | 56 | 60.2\% | 96 | 45 | 46.9\% | 13.3 | Yes |
|  | EALG | 293 | 143 | 48.8\% | 13 | 7 | 53.8\% | -5.0 | No |
| MATH V03 | EALG | 128 | 77 | 60.2\% | 86 | 46 | 53.5\% | 6.7 | Yes |
|  | IALG | 107 | 81 | 75.7\% | 69 | 32 | 46.4\% | 29.3 | Yes |
| MATH V04 | IALG | 18 | 12 | 66.7\% | 59 | 30 | 50.8\% | 15.9 | Yes |
|  | PCAL | 8 | 7 | 87.5\% | 15 | 8 | 53.3\% | 34.2 | Yes |
| MATH V44 | IALG | 13 | 12 | 92.3\% | 90 | 62 | 68.9\% | 23.4 | Yes |
|  | PCAL | 15 | 11 | 73.3\% | 15 | 11 | 73.3\% | 0.0 | No |
| MATH V21A | PCAL | 28 | 25 | 89.3\% | 29 | 24 | 82.7\% | 6.6 | Yes |

## - Disproportionate Impact as it relates to Ethnicity

## According to California Community Colleges Matriculation/SSSP Handbook:

For the purpose of assessment, disproportionate impact is when the percentage of persons from a particular racial, ethnic, gender, age, or disability group, who are directed to a particular service or course placement based on an assessment test or other measure is significantly different from the representation of that group in the population of persons being assessed, and that discrepancy is not justified by empirical evidence demonstrating that the assessment test or other measure is a valid and reliable predictor of performance in the relevant educational setting.
(Chapter 2, page 2.6).
This section of the study examines Math Assessment Test placements to determine if there are any indications of disproportionate impact related to ethnicity. The table below indicates the number of assessed students by test and the numbers of assessed students who enrolled in fall 2015 Math courses.

| Ventura College MDTP Assessment Tests |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Assessed } \\ \text { May 20, } 2014 \\ \text { through } \\ \text { August 31, } 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Assessed and Enrolled in Fall 2015 <br> MATH Courses | Percent Assessed and Enrolled in Fall 2015 MATH Courses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test | Code | Description | Items |  |  |  |
| I | ALG | Algebra Readiness | 50 | 2,045 | 612 | 30\% |
| II | EALG | Elementary Algebra | 50 | 1,736 | 755 | 43\% |
| III | IALG | Intermediate Algebra | 45 | 1,058 | 516 | 49\% |
| IV | PCAL | Pre-Calculus | 40 | 373 | 205 | 55\% |
| Totals and Average Percentage |  |  |  | 5,212 | 2,088 | 40\% |

Each test is divided into three cut-score ranges (placement categories) and each placement category has one or more recommended MATH courses associated with it. The Ventura College "Math Assessment Information Sheet" lists recommended placements in Math courses based on these placement categories (a copy of the information sheet is attached as an appendix to this report).

A Chi Square Analysis was performed for each of the four VC Math Assessment Tests to determine whether ethnicity was independent of (not related to) placement category.
Test I-ALG: Algebra Readiness Differential Placement, but No Disproportionate Impact Detected
The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 4}$ exceeds the tabled Chi-Square statistic of $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1}$ ( $\mathrm{df}=8$ ), which indicates that there was differential placement in regards to ethnicity.
The success rates of three Black and 60 Hispanic students who placed below MATH V01 but who enrolled in MATH V01 were $33 \%$ and $43 \%$, respectively. From these limited data, it does not appear that there were occurrences of disproportionate impact as regards ethnicity.

Test II - EALG: Elementary Algebra Disproportionate Impact Detected
The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 3}$ exceeds the tabled Chi-Square statistic of $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1}$ ( $\mathrm{df}=8$ ), which indicates that there was differential placement in regards to ethnicity.
The success rates of one Black and 54 Hispanic students who placed below MATH V03 but who enrolled in MATH V03 were $100 \%$ and $52 \%$, respectively. From these limited data, it appears that there may have been occurrences of disproportionate impact as regards ethnicity.

## Test III - IALG: Intermediate Algebra No Disproportionate Impact Detected

The total calculated Chi-Square value of 13.84 is below the tabled Chi-Square statistic of 15.51 (df = 8), which indicates that there was no differential placement in regards to ethnicity.

## Test IV - PCAL: Pre-Calculus No Disproportionate Impact Detected

The total calculated Chi-Square value of 8.68 falls below the tabled Chi-Square statistic of 9.49 ( $\mathrm{df}=4$ ), which indicates that there was no differential placement in regards to ethnicity.

## MULTIPLE TESTS AND VALIDATION CONSIDERATIONS

The four MDTP Tests used by Ventura College for Math assessment/placement are discrete and discontinuous, as compared to the English Assessment Test (CTEP) which is three separate tests taken sequentially in one sitting. The following factors need to be considered when interpreting the results of this validation study.
(1) Students chose which one of the four tests to take, which results in $17 \%$ to $25 \%$ of students receiving a placement message that advises them to "see a Counselor" or to take the next lower test Many of these students will then use their HS transcript to place into the desired Math class
(2) Two MDTP tests can be used to assess/place in the same course

Additionally, only about $20 \%$ of students use an assessment test score for placement in a Math class. Many students completed the pre-requisite course at VC or took an equivalent course at another college.
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## ■ MATH COURSE ENROLLMENTS AND MDTP TESTS

The following table provides enrollment data on fall 2015 MATH courses and the numbers of students in each course who took one/more of the four MDTP tests.

## Fall 2015 Enrollments

This section indicates the number of enrolled students by MATH course and the number and percentage that were successful in each course.

## Numbers of Students who Took MDTP Tests

This section shows the number of students in each course who took one/more MDTP tests during the period between May 20, 2014 and August 31, 2015. Highlighted cells indicate the MDTP tests that are used for assessment/placement in each course (other tests may have been taken). Several MATH courses use only one MDTP test for assessing and placing students (e.g., MATH V21A uses PCAL only). MATH V21B, V21C, V22, V23, and V90 do not use assessment test scores to determine placements. Enrollment in these courses is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the applicable pre-requisite course/s.

In this study, only the six MATH courses with highest enrollments had their success rates compared to the assessment test score ranges which would be used for recommending placements in the courses. The six MATH courses are: MATH V01, MATH V03, MATH V04, MATH V10, MATH V21A, and MATH V44. Course IDs for these courses are highlighted in the table.

- Note that many students who do not receive the MATH course placement that they desired use other measures (e.g., high school math course) to enroll in the desired MATH course.

| Course ID | Fall 2015 Enrollments |  |  | Numbers of Students who Took MDTP Tests |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Enrolled | Successful |  | $\frac{\text { Test I }}{\text { ALG }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test II } \\ & \hline \text { EALG } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test III } \\ & \hline \text { IALG } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Test IV }}{\text { PCAL }}$ | Total <br> Students |
|  |  | Count | Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH V01 | 782 | 381 | 48.7\% | 189 | 311 | 28 | 1 | 529 |
| MATH V02 | 24 | 21 | 87.5\% | 4 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
| MATH V03 | 828 | 444 | 53.6\% | 97 | 214 | 177 | 14 | 502 |
| MATH V04 | 401 | 217 | 54.1\% | 17 | 45 | 77 | 23 | 162 |
| MATH V05 | 156 | 80 | 51.3\% | 6 | 23 | 23 | 5 | 57 |
| MATH V10 | 447 | 284 | 63.5\% | 246 | 27 | 12 | 0 | 285 |
| MATH V11A | 48 | 35 | 72.9\% | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| MATH V12 | 132 | 73 | 55.3\% | 8 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 65 |
| MATH V13A | 49 | 34 | 69.4\% | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| MATH V20 | 119 | 71 | 59.7\% | 1 | 10 | 19 | 35 | 65 |
| MATH V21A | 214 | 147 | 68.7\% | 0 | 7 | 18 | 57 | 82 |
| MATH V21B | 108 | 44 | 40.7\% | 2 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 22 |
| MATH V21C | 87 | 66 | 75.9\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| MATH V22 | 46 | 38 | 82.6\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| MATH V23 | 40 | 26 | 65.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MATH V35 | 34 | 29 | 85.3\% | 4 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 17 |
| MATH V38 | 37 | 36 | 97.3\% | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| MATH V40 | 37 | 31 | 83.8\% | 2 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 14 |
| MATH V44 | 710 | 456 | 64.2\% | 19 | 57 | 103 | 36 | 215 |
| MATH V46 | 69 | 49 | 71.0\% | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 10 |
| Total | 4,368 | 2,562 | 58.7\% | 612 | 755 | 516 | 205 | 2,088 |

## - COURSE SUCCESS RATES BY CUT SCORES

- MATH V10 - Pre-Algebra (All students represented in this table were enrolled in MATH V10.)

| Assessments |  | Cut <br> Scores | Recommended Courses | Total Students | Successful | Success Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test | Code |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | ALG | 30-50 | MATH V01, 01A, V11A, V30 | 5 | 2 | 40.0\% |
|  |  | 20-29 | MATH V10 | 161 | 113 | 70.2\% |
|  |  | 0-19 | We strongly advise you see a Counselor | 80 | 46 | 57.5\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 246 | 161 | 65.4\% |

## Discussion

Students who placed in MATH V10 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-70.2 \%$ versus $57.5 \%$, respectively (a 12.7 point, or $22 \%$, difference).

- MATH V01 - Elementary Algebra (All students represented in this table were enrolled in MATH V01.)

| Assessments |  | Cut <br> Scores | Total <br> Test | Code | Success- <br> ful | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I | ALG | $30-50$ | MATH V01, 01A, V11A, V30 | 93 | 56 | $60.2 \%$ |
|  |  | $20-29$ | MATH V10 | 64 | 30 | $46.9 \%$ |
|  |  | $0-19$ | We strongly advise you see a Counselor | 32 | 15 | $46.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 \%}$ |
| II | EALG | $25-50$ | MATH V03, V02, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 | 5 | 3 | $60.0 \%$ |
|  |  | $11-24$ | MATH V01, V01A, V11A, V30 | 293 | 143 | $48.8 \%$ |
|  |  | $0-10$ | Take Test I | 13 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $53.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  | Total | $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 2 \%}$ |
|  |  | Grand Total | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8 \%}$ |  |

## Discussion

## ALG

Students who placed in MATH V01 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-60.2 \%$ versus $46.9 \%$, respectively (a 13.3 point, or $28 \%$, difference).

## EALG

Students who placed in MATH V01 recorded a lower success rate than students who scored below the cut score range $-48.8 \%$ versus $53.8 \%$, respectively (a -5.0 point, or $-9 \%$, difference). There were $\underline{293}$ students within the cut-score range versus $\underline{13}$ students who scored below the cut-score range. The difference in success rates is not significant at the .05 level as the calculated Chi Square value of $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ falls below the tabled Chi Square statistic of $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$.

## Chi Square Analysis



- MATH V03 - Intermediate Algebra (All students represented in this table were enrolled in MATH V03.)

| Assessments |  | Cut Scores | Recommended Courses | Total <br> Students | Success- <br> ful | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test | Code |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | EALG | 25-50 | MATH V03, V02, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 | 128 | 77 | 60.2\% |
|  |  | 11-24 | MATH V01, V01A, V11A, V30 | 80 | 44 | 55.0\% |
|  |  | 0-10 | Take Test I | 6 | 2 | 33.3\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 214 | 123 | 57.5\% |
| III | IALG | 27-45 | MATH V04, V05, V38, V40, V44, V45 | 1 | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | 18-26 | MATH V03, V02, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 | 107 | 81 | 75.7\% |
|  |  | 0-17 | Take Test II | 69 | 32 | 46.4\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 177 | 114 | 64.4\% |
|  |  |  | Grand Total | 391 | 237 | 60.6\% |

## Discussion

## EALG

Students who placed in MATH V03 achieved a modestly higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-60.2 \%$ versus $53.5 \%$, respectively (a 6.7 point, or $13 \%$, difference).
(Below cut-score -Students: $80+6=86$; Successful: $44+2=46$; Success rate: $46 \div 86 \times 100=.535$ or $53.5 \%$ )

## IALG

Students who placed in MATH VO3 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-75.7 \%$ versus $46.4 \%$, respectively (a 29.3 point, or $63 \%$, difference).

- MATH V04 - College Algebra (All students represented in this table were enrolled in MATH V04.)

| Assessments |  | Cut | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Test }\end{array}$ | Code | Scores |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Success- <br>

ful\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Success } \\
\text { Rate }\end{array}
$$\right]\).

## Discussion

## IALG

Students who placed in MATH V04 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-66.7 \%$ versus $50.8 \%$, respectively (a 15.9 point, or $31 \%$, difference).
(Below cut-score - Students: $31+28=59$; Successful: $20+10=30$; Success rate: $30 \div 59 \times 100=.508$ or $50.8 \%$ )
PCAL
Students who placed in MATH V04 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-87.5 \%$ versus $53.3 \%$, respectively (a 34.2 point, or $64 \%$, difference).

- MATH V44 - Elementary Statistics (All students represented in this table were enrolled in MATH V44.)

| Assessments |  | Cut <br> Scores | Recommended Courses | Total Students | Successful | Success <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test | Code |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | IALG | 27-45 | MATH V44, V04, V05, V38, V40, V45 | 13 | 12 | 92.3\% |
|  |  | 18-26 | MATH V03, V02, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 | 38 | 27 | 71.1\% |
|  |  | 0-17 | Take Test II | 52 | 35 | 67.3\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 103 | 74 | 71.8\% |
| IV | PCAL | 26-40 | MATH V21A, V52, CS V17 | 6 | 5 | 83.3\% |
|  |  | 18-25 | MATH V44, V04, V05, V20, V38, V40, V45, V46 | 15 | 11 | 73.3\% |
|  |  | 0-17 | Take Test III | 15 | 11 | 73.3\% |
|  |  |  | Total | 36 | 27 | 75.0\% |
|  |  |  | Grand Total | 139 | 101 | 72.7\% |

## Discussion

## IALG

Students who placed in MATH V44 achieved a substantially higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range - $92.3 \%$ versus $68.9 \%$, respectively (a 23.4 point, or $34 \%$, difference).
(Below cut-score - Students: $38+52=90$; Successful: $27+35=62$; Success rate: $62 \div 90 \times 100=.689$ or $68.9 \%$ )
PCAL
The success rate for students who placed in MATH V44 was the same as for students who scored below the cut-score range - $73.3 \%$ for each group (a 0.0 point, or 0\%, difference).

- MATH V21A - Calculus with Analytic Geometry I (All students represented in this table enrolled in MATH V21A.)

| Assessments |  | Cut |  | Total <br> Students | Success- <br> ful | Success <br> Rate |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test | Code | Scores | Recommended Courses | MATH V21A, V52, CS V17 | 28 | 25 |
| IV | PCAL | $26-40$ | $18-25$ | MATH V04, V05, V20, V38, V40, V44, V45, V46 | 15 | 14 |
|  |  | 17 | Take Test III | 14 | $93.3 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $0-17$ | Total | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $71.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |

## Discussion

Students who placed in MATH V21A achieved a modestly higher success rate than students who scored below the cut-score range $-89.3 \%$ versus $82.7 \%$, respectively (a 6.6 point, or $8 \%$, difference).
(Below cut-score - Students: $15+14=29$; Successful: $14+10=24$; Success rate: $24 \div 290 \times 100=.82 .7$ or $82.7 \%$ )

## ■ RECOMMENDED PLACEMENTS BY ETHNICITY

- Test I-ALG: Algebra Readiness



## Discussion

Because three of the Ethnic Groups had very low representation, they were omitted from the analysis. The five ethnic groups highlighted in yellow were evaluated for disproportionate impact using the Chi-Square Test. The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{2 4 . 9 4}$ exceeds the tabled Chi-Square statistic of $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1}(\mathrm{df}=8$ ), which indicates that there was differential placement in regards to ethnicity.
See Differential Placement, Test I-ALG: Algebra Readiness on page 12.

## Chi Square Analysis

| Ethnic Groups | Recommended Placements |  |  |  |  |  | (B) Row Totals | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Tabled Chi Square Statistic }}{15.51 \text { with } d f=8} \\ & (\mathrm{df}=(5-1) \times(3-1)=4 \times 2=8) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V01, etc. |  | V10 |  | See Counselor |  |  |  |
| Asian | A | 7 | B | 9 | C | 4 | 20 |  |
| Black or African-American | D | 2 | E | 6 | F | 5 | 13 |  |
| Hispanic | G | 83 | H | 183 | I | 92 | 358 |  |
| Multi-Ethnic | J | 8 | K | 8 | L | 5 | 21 |  |
| White | M | 81 | N | 80 | 0 | 33 | 194 |  |
| ( $\alpha$ ) Column Totals |  | 181 |  | 286 |  | 139 | 606 ( p ) |  |


| Cell | Expected Frequencies |  |  |  | Individual Chi Squares |  |  |  | Ethnic Groups | $\lambda \div \varepsilon$ | MATH V01 placements lower than expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha$ | B | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 ع | $\lambda)$ | $\div$ ¢ | $\chi^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| A | 181 | 20 | 606 | 5.97 | 5.97 | 7 | 5.97 | 0.18 | Asian | 1.17 |  |
| B | 286 | 20 | 606 | 9.44 | 9.44 | 9 | 9.44 | 0.21 |  |  |  |
| C | 139 | 20 | 606 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4 | 4.59 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| D | 181 | 13 | 606 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 2 | 3.88 | 0.91 | Black | 0.51 | Actual MATH VO1 placements were $51 \%$ of expected placements |
| E | 286 | 13 | 606 | 6.14 | 6.14 | 6 | 6.14 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| F | 139 | 13 | 606 | 2.98 | 2.98 | 5 | 2.98 | 1.37 |  |  |  |
| G | 181 | 358 | 606 | 106.93 | 106.93 | 83 | 106.93 | 5.36 | Hispanic | 0.77 | Actual MATH V01 placements were $77 \%$ of expected placements |
| H | 286 | 358 | 606 | 168.96 | 168.96 | 183 | 168.96 | 1.17 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 139 | 358 | 606 | 82.12 | 82.12 | 92 | 82.12 | 1.19 |  |  |  |
| J | 181 | 21 | 606 | 6.27 | 6.27 | 8 | 6.27 | 0.48 | Multi-Eth | 1.28 |  |
| K | 286 | 21 | 606 | 9.91 | 9.91 | 8 | 9.91 | 0.37 |  |  |  |
| L | 139 | 21 | 606 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 5 | 4.82 | 0.01 |  |  |  |
| M | 181 | 194 | 606 | 57.94 | 57.94 | 81 | 57.94 | 9.18 | White | 1.40 |  |
| N | 286 | 194 | 606 | 91.56 | 91.56 | 80 | 91.56 | 1.46 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 139 | 194 | 606 | 44.50 | 44.50 | 33 | 44.50 | 2.97 |  |  |  |
| Total Students |  |  |  | 606.01 | Total Chi Square |  |  | 24.94 | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=$ Expected valu |  | ues $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ Actual values |

- Test II - EALG: Elementary Algebra



## Discussion

Because three of the Ethnic Groups had very low representation, they were omitted from the analysis. The five ethnic groups highlighted in yellow were evaluated for disproportionate impact using the Chi-Square Test. The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 3}$ exceeds the tabled Chi-Square statistic of $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1}(\mathrm{df}=8)$, which indicates that there was differential placement in regards to ethnicity.
See Differential Placement, Test II - EALG: Algebra Readiness on page 12.

## Chi Square Analysis

| Ethnic <br> Groups | Recommended Placements |  |  |  |  | (ß) Row |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | V03, etc. |  | V01, etc. |  | Take Test I |  | Totals |
| Asian | A | 12 | B | 9 | C |  | 1 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| Black or African-American | D | 3 | E | 7 | F | 6 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| Hispanic | G | 124 | H | 329 | I | 30 | $\mathbf{4 8 3}$ |
| Multi-Ethnic | 13 | K | 11 | L | 2 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |  |
| White | J | 77 | N | 118 | O | 6 | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |
| $(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ Column Totals |  | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 7 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |

Tabled Chi Square Statistic 15.51 with $\mathrm{df}=8$
( $\mathbf{d f}=(5-1) \times(3-1)=4 \times 2=8)$

| Cell | Expected Frequencies |  |  |  | Individual Chi Squares |  |  |  | Ethnic <br> Groups | $\lambda \div \varepsilon$ | MATH V03 placements lower than expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha$ | B | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 ع | $\lambda)^{2}$ | $\div \varepsilon$ | $\chi^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| A | 229 | 22 | 748 | 6.74 | 6.74 | 12 | 6.74 | 4.10 | Asian | 1.78 |  |
| B | 474 | 22 | 748 | 13.94 | 13.94 | 9 | 13.94 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| C | 45 | 22 | 748 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 1 | 1.32 | 0.77 |  |  |  |
| D | 229 | 16 | 748 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 3 | 4.90 | 0.74 | Black | 0.61 | Actual MATH V03 placements were $6 \underline{61 \%}$ of expected placements |
| E | 474 | 16 | 748 | 10.14 | 10.14 | 7 | 10.14 | 0.97 |  |  |  |
| F | 45 | 16 | 748 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 6 | 0.96 | 26.46 |  |  |  |
| G | 229 | 483 | 748 | 147.87 | 147.87 | 124 | 147.87 | 3.85 | Hispanic | 0.84 | Actual MATH V03 placements were $84 \%$ of expected placements |
| H | 474 | 483 | 748 | 306.07 | 306.07 | 329 | 306.07 | 1.72 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 45 | 483 | 748 | 29.06 | 29.06 | 30 | 29.06 | 0.30 |  |  |  |
| J | 229 | 26 | 748 | 7.96 | 7.96 | 13 | 7.96 | 3.19 | Multi-Eth | 1.63 |  |
| K | 474 | 26 | 748 | 16.47 | 16.47 | 11 | 16.47 | 1.82 |  |  |  |
| L | 45 | 26 | 748 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 2 | 1.56 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| M | 229 | 201 | 748 | 61.54 | 61.54 | 77 | 61.54 | 3.88 | White | 1.25 |  |
| N | 474 | 201 | 748 | 127.37 | 127.37 | 118 | 127.37 | 0.69 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 45 | 201 | 748 | 12.09 | 12.09 | 6 | 12.09 | 3.07 |  |  |  |
| Total Students |  |  |  | 747.99 | Total Chi Square |  |  | 53.43 | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=$ Expected values $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ Actual values |  |  |

- Test III - IALG: Intermediate Algebra



## Discussion

Because three of the Ethnic Groups had very low representation, they were omitted from the analysis. The five ethnic groups highlighted in yellow were evaluated for disproportionate impact using the Chi-Square Test. The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 4}$ is below the tabled Chi-Square statistic of $\mathbf{1 5 . 5 1}$ ( $\mathrm{df}=8$ ), which indicates that there was no differential placement in regards to ethnicity.

## Chi Square Analysis

| Ethnic Groups | Recommended Placements |  |  |  |  |  | (B) Row Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V04, V44, etc. |  | V03, etc. |  | Take Test II |  |  |
| Asian | A | 5 | B | 8 | C | 10 | 23 |
| Black or African-American | D | 0 | E | 7 | F | 11 | 18 |
| Hispanic | G | 28 | H | 126 | 1 | 154 | 308 |
| Multi-Ethnic | J | 2 | K | 10 | L | 11 | 23 |
| White | M | 21 | N | 68 | 0 | 52 | 141 |
| ( $\alpha$ ) Column Totals |  | 56 |  | 219 |  | 238 | 513 (p) |

Tabled Chi Square Statistic
15.51 with $\mathrm{df}=8$
$(\mathrm{df}=(5-1) \times(3-1)=4 \times 2=8)$

| Cell | Expected Frequencies |  |  |  | Individual Chi Squares |  |  |  | Ethnic <br> Groups | $\lambda \div \varepsilon$ | MATH V04 placements lower than expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha$ | B | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 ع | $\lambda)$ | $\div \varepsilon$ |  |  |  |  |
| A | 56 | 23 | 513 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 5 | 2.51 | 2.47 | Asian | 1.99 |  |
| B | 219 | 23 | 513 | 9.82 | 9.82 | 8 | 9.82 | 0.34 |  |  |  |
| C | 238 | 23 | 513 | 10.67 | 10.67 | 10 | 10.67 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| D | 56 | 18 | 513 | 1.96 | 1.96 | 0 | 1.96 | 1.96 | Black | 0.0 | Actual MATH V04 placements were $\mathbf{0}$ \% of expected placements |
| E | 219 | 18 | 513 | 7.68 | 7.68 | 7 | 7.68 | 0.06 |  |  |  |
| F | 238 | 18 | 513 | 8.35 | 8.35 | 11 | 8.35 | 0.84 |  |  |  |
| G | 56 | 308 | 513 | 33.62 | 33.62 | 28 | 33.62 | 0.94 | Hispanic | 0.83 | Actual MATH V04 placements were $83 \%$ of expected placements |
| H | 219 | 308 | 513 | 131.48 | 131.48 | 126 | 131.48 | 0.23 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 238 | 308 | 513 | 142.89 | 142.89 | 154 | 142.89 | 0.96 |  |  |  |
| J | 56 | 23 | 513 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2 | 2.51 | 0.10 | Multi-Eth | 0.80 | Actual MATH V04 placements were $80 \%$ of expected placements |
| K | 219 | 23 | 513 | 9.82 | 9.82 | 10 | 9.82 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| L | 238 | 23 | 513 | 10.67 | 10.67 | 11 | 10.67 | 0.10 |  |  |  |
| M | 56 | 141 | 513 | 15.39 | 15.39 | 21 | 15.39 | 2.04 | White | 1.36 |  |
| N | 219 | 141 | 513 | 60.19 | 60.19 | 68 | 60.19 | 1.01 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 238 | 141 | 513 | 65.41 | 65.41 | 52 | 65.41 | 2.75 |  |  |  |
| Total Students |  |  |  | 512.97 | Total Chi Square |  |  | 13.84 | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=$ Expected values $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ Actual values |  |  |

## - Test IV - PCAL: Pre-Calculus

| Ethnic Groups |  | PCAL Test Scores | 26-40 | 18-25 | 0-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MATH Course Placements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V21A, } \\ & \text { V52, } \\ & \text { CS V17 } \end{aligned}$ | V04, V05, V20, V38, V40, V44 V45, V46A | Take Test III |
|  |  | Number | Placement | Placement | Placement |
| Code | Description | Taking Test | Percentages | Percentages | Percentages |
| A | Asian | 28 | 43\% | 18\% | 39\% |
| B | Black or African-American | 2 | -- | -- | 100\% |
| H | Hispanic | 113 | 19\% | 32\% | 49\% |
| N | Native American | 1 | -- | 100\% | -- |
| P | Pacific Islander | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| T | Multi-Ethnic | 2 |  | 50\% | 50\% |
| W | White | 58 | 24\% | 38\% | 38\% |
| X | Unreported | 1 | -- | -- | 100\% |
| Total and Average Percentages |  | 205 | 23\% | 32\% | 45\% |
| Total Numbers of Students |  | 205 | 48 | 65 | 92 |

## Discussion:

Because five of the Ethnic Groups had very low representation, they were omitted from the analysis. The three ethnic groups highlighted in yellow were evaluated for disproportionate placement using the ChiSquare Test. The total calculated Chi-Square value of $\mathbf{8 . 6 8}$ falls below the tabled Chi-Square statistic of 9.49 ( $\mathrm{df}=4$ ), which indicates that there was no differential placement in regards to ethnicity.

## Chi Square Analysis

| Ethnic Groups | Recommended Placements |  |  |  |  |  | (ß) Row Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V21A, etc. |  | V04, V44, etc. |  | Take Test III |  |  |
| Asian | A | 12 | B | 5 | C | 11 | 28 |
| Hispanic | D | 22 | E | 36 | F | 55 | 113 |
| White | G | 14 | H | 22 | 1 | 22 | 58 |
| (a) Column Totals |  | 48 |  | 63 |  | 88 | 199 ( $\gamma$ ) |

Tabled Chi Square Statistic
9.49 with df $=4$
( $\mathrm{df}=(3-1) \times(3-1)=2 \times 2=4)$

| Cell | Expected Frequencies |  |  |  | Individual Chi Squares |  |  |  | Ethnic Groups | $\lambda \div \varepsilon$ | MATH V21A placements lower than expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha$ | B | $\gamma$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 ع | $\lambda)$ | $\div \varepsilon$ | $\chi^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| A | 48 | 28 | 199 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 12 | 6.75 | 4.08 | Asian | 1.78 |  |
| B | 63 | 28 | 199 | 8.86 | 8.86 | 5 | 8.86 | 1.68 |  |  |  |
| C | 88 | 28 | 199 | 12.38 | 12.38 | 11 | 12.38 | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| D | 48 | 113 | 199 | 27.26 | 27.26 | 22 | 27.26 | 1.02 | Hispanic | 0.81 | Actual MATH V21A placements were $81 \%$ of expected placements |
| E | 63 | 113 | 199 | 35.77 | 35.77 | 36 | 35.77 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| F | 88 | 113 | 199 | 49.97 | 49.97 | 55 | 49.97 | 0.51 |  |  |  |
| G | 48 | 58 | 199 | 13.99 | 13.99 | 14 | 13.99 | 0.00 | White | 1.00 |  |
| H | 63 | 58 | 199 | 18.36 | 18.36 | 22 | 18.36 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 88 | 58 | 199 | 25.65 | 25.65 | 22 | 25.65 | 0.52 |  |  |  |
| Total Students |  |  |  | 198.99 | Total Chi Square |  |  | 8.68 | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=$ Expected values |  | ues $\lambda=$ Actual values |

## ■ DIFFERENTIAL PLACEMENT

## - Test I - ALG: Algebra Readiness

The ALG Test is used to assess student preparation for placement in the lowest level math classes:

| Score Range | Recommendation |
| :---: | :--- |
| $30-50$ | MATH V01 - Elementary Algebra, etc. |
| $20-29$ | MATH V10 - Pre-Algebra |
| $0-19$ | Advised to see a Counselor |

MATH V01 is the highest recommendation available to a student taking the ALG. As such, this evaluation is concerned with determining whether there might be disproportionate impact based on ethnicity related to MATH V01 placement recommendations.

The Chi Square Analysis related to the ALG (page 8) indicated that Black and Hispanic students may have been disproportionately recommended to placement in MATH V10 or to "see a Counselor." In the table below, success rates were calculated for students who took the ALG, placed below MATH V01, but took MATH V01.

| Ethnic Group | Enrolled | Successful | Success Rate | Unsuccessful Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Asian | 2 | 2 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Black | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| Hispanic | 60 | 26 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 7 \%}$ |
| Multi-Ethnic | 2 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| White | 29 | 16 | $55.2 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | 45 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 \%}$ |

Of the three Black students took MATH V01, only $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ were successful; of the $\mathbf{6 0}$ Hispanic students who enrolled in MATH V01, only 43\% were successful. From these limited data, it does not appear that there were occurrences of disproportionate impact as regards ethnicity.

## - Test II - EALG: Elementary Algebra

The EALG Test is used to assess student preparation for placement in pre-collegiate level math classes:

| Score Range |  | Recommendation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $25-50$ |  |
| $11-24$ |  | MATH V03 - Intermediate Algebra, etc. |
| $0-10$ |  | Take Test I |

MATH V03 is the highest recommendation available to a student taking the EALG. As such, this evaluation is concerned with determining whether there might be disproportionate impact based on ethnicity related to MATH V03 placement recommendations.

The Chi Square Analysis related to the EALG (page 9) indicated that Black and Hispanic students may have been disproportionately recommended to placement in MATH V10 or to "Take Test I." In the table below, success rates were calculated for students who took the EALG, placed below MATH V03, but took MATH V03.

| Ethnic Group | Enrolled | Successful | Success Rate | Unsuccessful Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Asian | 4 | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Black | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 54 | 28 | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| Multi-Ethnic | 6 | 2 | $33.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| White | 21 | 11 | $52.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| Total | 86 | 46 | $\mathbf{5 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 5 \%}$ |

The one Black student who enrolled MATH V03 was successful; of the $\mathbf{5 4}$ Hispanic students who enrolled in MATH V03, 52\% were successful. From these limited data, it appears that there may have been occurrences of disproportionate impact as regards ethnicity.

## Information Sheet

 MATH ASSESSMENTThe intent of assessment testing is to help you determine the course(s) most appropriate for you, based on your skill level.
Assessment test Instrument: Mathematics Diagnostic Testing Program (MDTP)

TEST I / ALGEBRA READINESS: 50 Questions / 60 Minutes

| SCORE | RECOMMENDED COURSES |
| :---: | :---: |
| $30-50$ | MATH V01, V01A, V11A, V30 |
| $20-29$ | MATH V10 |
| $0-19$ | We strongly advise you see a Counselor |


| TEST II / ELEMENTARY ALGEBRA: 50 Questions / 60 Minutes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SCORE | RECOMMENDED COURSES |
| $25-50$ | MATH V02, V03, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 |
| $11-24$ | MATH V01, V01A, V11A, V30 |
| $0-10$ | Take Test I |


| TEST III / INTERMEDIATE ALGEBRA: 45 Questions / 60 Minutes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SCORE | RECOMMENDED COURSES |
| $27-45$ | MATH V04, V05, V38, V40, V44, V45 |
| $18-26$ | MATH V02, V03, V03A, V12, V13A, V35 |
| $0-17$ | Take Test II |

TEST IV / PRE-CALCULUS: 40 Questions / 60 Minutes

| SCORE | RECOMMENDED COURSES |
| :---: | :---: |
| $26-40$ | CSV17; MATH V21A, V52 |
| $18-25$ | MATH V04, V05, V20, V38, V40, V44, V45, V46A |
| $0-17$ | Take Test III |

## NOTE:

Students who score at the lower end of any score range are encouraged to enroll in a course at the next lower level to improve chances for success. Students who have not taken a math course in the past two (2) years are also encouraged to enroll in a course at the next lower level.

Students with learning disabilities, or those experiencing learning difficulties, may contact the Educational Assistance Center (EAC) for additional information at (805) 289-6300.

