

**Ventura College Academic Senate  
Minutes  
Thursday, November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2014  
2:00---3:30pm  
Multidiscipline Center West (MCW) – 312**

I. Call to Order at 2:02p.m. The following senators were present:

<b>Senator</b>	<b>Division Represented</b>	<b>Initials</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>
Algiers, Kammy	Mathematics & Sciences	KA	X	
Carrasco-Nungaray, Marian	Student Services	MCN	X	
Coffey, Colleen M.	Senate Secretary	CMC	X	
Forde, Richard	Career & Technical Education	RF	X	
Hendricks, Bill	Social Sciences & Humanities	BH	X	
Kim, Henny	English & Learning Resources	HK	X	
Kolesnik, Alex	Mathematics & Sciences	AK	X	
Martin, Amanda	English & Learning Resources	AM	X	
McCain, Mike	Mathematics & Sciences	MM	X	
Morris, Terry or Anglin, Gary	Athletics, Kinesiology & Health	TM / GA		X
Mules, Ron	Social Sciences & Humanities	RM	X	
Reyes, Tony	ASVC External Affairs Director	TR		X
Sezzi, Peter H.	Senate President	PHS	X	
Sha, Saliha	Mathematics & Sciences	SS	X	
Wendt, Patty or Paula Munoz	Student Services	PW / PM	PW X	
Zacharias, Mary	Career & Technical Education	MZ	X	

**Guests Present:** Gloria Arevalo; Dave Keebler; Bob Lawson

II. Public Comments—None.

III. Acknowledgment of Guests

a. Dave Umstot –Consultant to Measure S Bond and Facilities – (3:15pm – Time certain) – Reports to senators about sustainability at VC campus. He has a slide presentation and gives the URL to senators.

IV. Approval of minutes

- a. October 16, 2014
  - b. October 30, 2014
- Abstain SS; KA motion; RF 2<sup>nd</sup>; vote unanimous.

V. Study Sessions

a. Campus Holiday Get-Together: KA objection seems to be having to pay for the event and having it be dress-up. BH says off campus might be nice. Discussion about when to best time it. KA suggests a Thurs afternoon 12/11.

b. SB 850: Dave Keebler here to answer questions about this. Senators discuss all that is still unanswered about this proposal/program. But acknowledge there is little to lose in applying to be one of the pilots. Gloria Arevalo expresses that there is still quite a few questions to be answered at state level about what the programs will look like, requirements, funding, etc. Discussion about per unit funding. Dave clarifies that college only keeps 2% of student funds. So under this BA program, you would get this, plus \$84 per unit total. Because it would be part of the base, it would help FTES. PHS says MC is not interested at all; OC is on the fence. MCN asks about which degrees are available. PHS clarifies that this is only for BA programs that do not exist at CSU's and UC's. Dave has handouts about type of degree we're looking at. MCN asks about if managers across campus have looked at this and whether they want to go forward. Dave says the advantage of this degree is that there is a tremendously disenfranchised group of people in our community who are in the workforce and don't have an undergraduate pathway to advance their careers. He has seen this work in several other places. He has spoken to CSUCI, they are not interested in this type of degree. Dave says the only thing we are doing at this point is asking, do we want to move forward? Then we ask about feasibility, etc. PHS says he sees it as prudent to put our hat in the ring. Gloria says her only concern is that there are very similar degrees at CSU's and UC's so we would have to make sure our degree was substantially different. MCN says this would need to go to the regional consortium. Dave says since so many other states are doing that, we have a lot of models to work from. PHS says the Community Advisory Board would be the place to start this. Dave says it also gives an educational pathway for a MA. AK says this could also work for the Applied Sciences: Automotive, Water Science. Dave re-emphasizes the "Applied" in the degree title.

VI. Action Items:

- a. Approval of faculty membership of College and District committees –NONE.
- b. Baccalaureate Degree Pilot Program Certification of Interest\*\* --MCN motions that the Academic Senate forward interest in the BA program; RF seconds. Discussion: none. Vote unanimous.
- c. Faculty Staffing Priorities Committee Rubric \*\* --PHS briefs Senators about the way the rubric information can be transmitted in order to solicit feedback so that our process conforms with the Brown Act. Motion by AK; second by KA. Discussion: AK says rubric the last few years has been a little bit difficult for people to interpret. Senators discuss possible revisions to this rubric. They also discuss the unique accreditation needs of say, for example, nursing or automotive. AK says for Criterion A, he doesn't think there is ever an intent to not meet the standards. KA says she remembers the reason they did that was that if it's a licensing obligation, this has to come before everything else. AK says but isn't this an issue like the "required" category (i.e. in program review)? He

doesn't think faculty have to make a decision on this. RF says he believes we need to leave the unique accreditation issue in there just in case. Senators discuss & finalize particular wording of Category A.

Discussion moves to Criterion B. AK says sometimes they cancel classes just because students do not want to take a class with a particular faculty member. AK says what about "low enrollment and productivity data." PHS says we have that as Criterion C. PHS says we can strike B and inserting Y instead.

AK says on Criterion Y do we want to add the WSH number? Senators discuss pros and cons of this. PHS says he thinks this is good, but maybe use fill rate. Next year perhaps look at changing WSH at the district level.

Discussion continues about desirability of a report re: how quickly individual classes/sections fill. MCN says she agrees that this would be very valuable data.

Discussion ensues re: waitlist and how this intersects with student learning. But this is tabled for the moment to be brought back at a future meeting.

Recommendation from Debbie Newcomb re: Criterion C that PHS reads. Senators discuss this proposed language revision and how full-time hiring intersects with SLO/CSLO work products. This would have to be in each department's presentation at SPC. Committee members will have the relevant program review documents supplied by PHS.

Criterion D: AK says there's a problem. When you do your division rankings, you could bring forward 50 positions, knowing you will not get that many, still leaving an artificial number ranked "high." KA says in a way this makes it so that everyone is not hired in one division. If we don't have this, we don't have this data. Gloria asks about grants and categoricals (i.e. legally required under 3SP). PW and PHS clarify this. If someone hires through a grant, they just do that; it is not subject to SPC. PHS asks if we want to keep this criterion or not? Senators agree to leave language as is (i.e. "low, medium, and high).

Criterion E is stricken.

Criterion X is stricken.

Vote is unanimous.

- d. Distance Education Handbook (Second Reading) –Motion by MZ; second by AK. Vote is 13-0-1 (RM abstained).
- e. Resolution on the Restoration of All Users Email to Faculty and Creation of Standards of Conduct for the Same (First & 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading) –Motion by AK; second by BH. Discussion: PHS says this would relieve him of the duty of acting as secretary of the campus (by only one with access to all users). PHS says he has spoken to Dave Fuhrman. Vote: 12-1-1 (RM opposed; MM abstained)

- f. Resolution on the Creation of a Speakers' Bureau (First & Second Reading) –This idea forwarded from Scott Corbett. Completely voluntary for people who have something they want to share with the community. Motion by KA; second by MZ. Gloria asks about the role of the Foundation. PHS clarifies that Foundation gets a lot of inquiries and this would create a resource to identify people who could/would be available to speak. PHS restates that this would be completely voluntary on the part of faculty. He has done with before and he said you are almost always dealing with a very interested audience. Vote unanimous.

VII. Consent Items\* ---Moved to 12/4 meeting so that SS has time to meet/vet this at their General Council meeting. PHS asks MCN to give him feedback about whether this should be consent or action item at that time.

- a. BP/AP 4050 – Articulation
- b. BP/AP 5110 – Counseling
- c. BP/AP 5120 – Transfer Center

VIII. President's Report—NONE.

IX. Campus Committee reports –NONE.

X. Campus Committees reports

- a. Elections committee report: 2015 spring AK 24; CL 23. 2015/2017 term: AK 23; PHS 23; CC 23; treasurer two write-ins: 1 for Carrie Lange and 21 vote for AM. AM declines. PHS will ask Carrie if she would be interested.

XI. Announcements for the Good of the Order --NONE

XII. Requests for Future Agenda Items --NONE

XIII. Adjournment at 3:40.