College Outcomes Group Evaluation 2020-2021

Q2 - Committee Charge: The College Outcomes Group will be responsible for monitoring the progress and evaluating the quality of Student Learning Outcomes and Service Unit Outcomes on campus. The committee will create an annual report of SLO/SUO progress. Does the business of the committee reflect the committee charge?

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Yes	88.89%	8
2	No	11.11%	1
			9

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

Q3 - Please explain:

Currently the business is mostly reporting current projects to committee, not actually reviewing SLO content as a group or progress on faculty reporting. Would be nice to see, last semester we had 65% compliance in reporting with X,Y, and Z departments being top reporters and A, B, and C being lowest reporting departments. These are the SLOs being proposed for new courses. Asher and Aurora approved them...what does the committee think?

We follow the specific charge description as we address each agenda.

Yes, we definitely meet the committee charge. I especially found our last couple of meetings helpful toward this end when we worked with staff from various service areas on the SUOs.

Q5 - Goal 1: Increase equitable membership of COG to ensure that more divisions are represented.

#	Field		Choice Count
1	Met goal	33.33%	3
2	Did not meet goal	22.22%	2
3	Unsure	44.44%	4
			9

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q6 - Comments:

Comments:

we did add two new members!

Because of COVID, I am not sure who is representing the different departments at this time.

I feel we need more faculty/staff from different divisions to serve on the committee.

Q7 - Goal 2: Complete PSLO maps for all programs

#	Field		Choice Count
1	Met goal	66.67%	6
2	Did not meet goal	0.00%	0
3	Unsure	33.33%	3
			9

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q8 - Comments:

Comments:

Done and done!

I'm sorry- I believe we did, as I am in 3 different departments, and ours were completed but I don't know if 100% of the college were mapped.

We did accomplish this and they are very helpful.

Q9 - Goal 3: Complete all ISLO maps for all courses

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Met goal	75.00%	6
2	Did not meet goal	0.00%	0
3	Unsure	25.00%	2
			8

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q10 - Comments:

Comments:

easy peasy, ISLOs now are directly connected to CSLOs!

Again-SORRY! I don't have this answer in my head, so I have to say unsure. :-(

Q11 - Goal 4: Develop accurate method for calculating SLO assessment completion

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Met goal	37.50%	3
2	Did not meet goal	0.00%	0
3	Unsure	62.50%	5
			8

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q12 - Comments:

Comments:

I believe this is in progress.

The revised method of calculating SLO assessment completion made it easier to quantify the complete vs. incomplete.

I am unsure if we met this goal. Our new document about moving the assessment semester will help with SLO assessment completion. We do need a method to consider special cases for why an SLO might not get assessed. For example, if a department has one full time faculty member who is either new or leaves the college. Is it reasonable to expect that that department's SLOs are assessed? If the SLO is not assessed for one of these reasons, should that count against percentage of SLOs assessed by that department?

Q13 - Goal 5: Improve communication and coordination with Curriculum Committee

#	Field		Choice Count
1	Met goal	12.50%	1
2	Did not meet goal	25.00%	2
3	Unsure	62.50%	5
			8

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q14 - Comments:

Comments:

Aurora dropped the ball this task!

I can't lie...not sure about this one....

I know this has been important but am unsure of how much of this has been done.

Q15 - Goal 6: Evaluate assessment models and tools for bias, and communicate

assessment best practices to departments.

#	Field		Choice Count
1	Met goal	37.50%	3
2	Did not meet goal	37.50%	3
3	Unsure	25.00%	2
			8

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q16 - Comments:

Comments:
This is a very worthwhile goal and we should keep it on the burner for next year!
The committee had many discussions about equity in the SLO process.

Q21 - Is the committee environment supportive and encouraging of input on relevant issues?

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Always	75.00%	6
2	Usually	25.00%	2
3	Sometimes	0.00%	0
4	Rarely	0.00%	0
5	Never	0.00%	0
			8

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q22 - Please explain:

Please explain:

Sometimes committee members lack enthusiasm for committee work!

We have a very cohesive group of regular attendees. We are all friendly, jovial, yet get the agenda done, and if there's an issue, we put it on the next agenda after a few members (usually the top dogs-Asher, Aurora and Phillip) discuss it further and then bring it back to the committee. I feel very respected and appreciated on this committee!

I feel that we have a great committee. We listen to each other's ideas and always work as a group to come up with solutions.

Q23 - Are the issues brought to the committee effectively addressed in a timely manner?

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Always	62.50%	5
2	Usually	37.50%	3
3	Sometimes	0.00%	0
4	Rarely	0.00%	0
5	Never	0.00%	0
			8

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q24 - Please explain:

Please explain:

as far as I know they are addressed in a timely manner.

I referenced this in the prior answer: If there's an issue, we put it on the next agenda after a few members (usually the top dogs-Asher, Aurora and Phillip) discuss it further and then bring it back to the committee.

Q25 - To what degree do you agree with the following statement: "In this committee, I felt like my ideas were recognized and appreciated"?

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Strongly agree	87.50%	7
2	Agree	12.50%	1
3	Neither agree nor disagree	0.00%	0
4	Disagree	0.00%	0
5	Strongly disagree	0.00%	0
			8

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q26 - Please explain:

Please explain:

Great guy, that Phil! His actually fixes things that need fixing! Incredible administrator that gets things done!!!

I feel respected and appreciated on this committee! :-)

Q27 - To what degree do you agree with the following statement: "I feel united with the other members of the committee in our pursuit of a better campus"?

#	Field	Choice Count	
1	Strongly agree	62.50%	5
2	Agree	25.00%	2
3	Neither agree nor disagree	12.50%	1
4	Disagree	0.00%	0
5	Strongly disagree	0.00%	0
			8

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q28 - Please explain:

Please explain:

would like to build a little more camaraderie and buy in from other members.

We are a great team, with each person's ideas or suggestions being a valued addition. I am speaking of those that show up...there a few that I haven't seen this past year.

Q29 - What improvements should be made for this committee to function more effectively? For example, items for future consideration by the committee, agenda items that need completion, and/or suggested changes.

What improvements should be made for this committee to function more effect...

Only if we can draw more memberships to the committee.

more ice breakers and opportunities for committee members to get to know each other and our mutual charge.

I have none, except to perhaps move the start time of the meetings to 3:00 if possible so the completion time of 4:30 versus 5 selfishly fits my family calendar better-but I know it's not all about me! (I wish it was...!)

I think we should try to get more members on the committee from different divisions and service areas.

End of Report