

Section A - Enrollment and Demographics

Examine the enrollment and demographic data in Section A of the datasheet.

- 1. Is your program's enrollment increasing, decreasing, or remaining constant? Increasing
- 2. Describe the reason(s) for the trend in your program's enrollment (600 characters max).

More students are enrolling in classes, other wise the program numbers are consistant with the number of sections offered and the space restrictions we have due to the number of student stations and lecture room seats.

- 3. Are the demographics of students in your program similar to those of the College, as a whole? Yes
- 4. If no, please describe why they differ (600 characters max).

 Are you able to increase your program's enrollment and/or enroll more students from underrepresented groups? Yes



6. If no, please describe why your program is unable to do this. (600 characters max).

Section B - Course Success Rate

Examine your program's course success rate data in Section B of the datasheet. To satisfy an accreditation requirement, the College has set a standard of 66.7% for the course success rate that all programs are expected to meet.

- Was your program's course success rate in 2014 higher than the college standard of 66.7%? Yes
- 2. Was your program's course success rate in 2014 higher than the overall college success rate? Yes
- 3. Is your program's course success rate increasing, decreasing, or remaining constant? Increasing
- 4. Are there gaps between demographic groups (ethnicity, gender) in your program's course success rate?

No

5. Briefly describe the reason(s) for the trend in your program's course success rate, and for any gaps between demographic groups (600 characters max).

A small gap between Hispanic 69.6% and White 75% and female 100% and Male 73%. Female students tend to do very well in Manufacturing technology classes. Very few proficency awards are finished by females as they focus on technology classes related to current work and employment needs. The department works to eliminate any barriersto success due to ethnicity.

 Are you able to increase your program's course success rate and/or close gaps between demographic groups? Yes



Section C - Productivity

Examine your program's productivity data in Section C of the datasheet. The college has set an overall productivity standard of 525.

1. Was your program's productivity in 2014 higher, lower, or equal to the overall college standard of 525?

Lower

- Is your program's productivity increasing, decreasing, or remaining constant? Increasing
- 3. Is your program's course fill rate increasing, decreasing, or remaining constant? Increasing
- 4. Briefly describe the reasons for the trends in your program's productivity and course fill rate (600 characters max).

The district efficiency goal for Manufacturing Tech is 350 as the 525 number is based on programs that have the ability to hold larger class sizes. due to Lab/classroom design for Manufacturing the class size is smaller. This helps insure a safe working environment for the students and staff. The department continues to increase our class size number when possible or when the course has only lecture and no lab. The department will continue to look for ways to increase efficiency. Fill rate data is based on the seat numbers listed in banner and we have had issues with the data being bad.

 Are you able to increase your productivity and/or course fill rate? Yes



Section D - Degrees and Certificates Awarded

 Does your program offer a degree or certificate of achievement? Yes

If yes, please examine the degree and certificate data on Section D of the datasheet and answer the questions below. If no, skip to Section E.

To satisfy an accreditation requirement, the college has set a standard to award a minimum of 1,178 degrees and certificates each year.

2. Briefly describe the trend in the number of degrees and certificates that your program has awarded over the last five years (600 characters max).

Department proficiency awards and Biomedical Device Manufacturing Certificate of Achievment co offered with Moorpark College degree approved spring 2015. This is the first semester that the degree was officially offered.

Programs that have awarded fewer than 15 degrees and certificates over the past five years may be placed on possible discontinuance.

 Has your program awarded fewer than 15 total degrees and certificates over the past five years? Yes



4. If yes, please describe the reason(s) why your program has awarded fewer than 15 total degrees and certificates (600 characters max). Also please create an initiative in Section H that describes how your program will increase the number of degrees/certificates awarded, and what resources, if any, are necessary to achieve it.

Certificate is new 2015.

- Are there gaps between demographic groups (ethnicity, gender) in your program's awarding of degrees and certificates? No
- 6. If yes, please describe the reasons for any gaps between demographic groups (600 characters max).

 Are you able to increase the number of degrees/certificates that your program awards each year and/or close any gaps between demographic groups? Yes



Section E - Student Learning Outcomes

- Are there any courses your program offers that have never been assessed? No
- 2. If yes, why haven't they been assessed? (600 characters max)

 What percentage of your program's courses have assessed at least half of their SLO's? 100%

- 4. Have you made any changes to courses based on the results of SLO assessment? Yes
- If yes, briefly describe the changes were made and the impact they had on student learning. (600 characters max).

We evaluate the student success of our SLO by reviewing our course work and student work to determine ways to increase student understanding of the course materials. By realizing that our students come to us with different education, life experience and backgrounds we are slowing down and reviewing more of the basic concepts. More attention to detail of the first 4 weeks to give them a better foundation for the remainder of the course materials. Laboratory safety remains our primary focus in the lab classes.



6. How many courses have assessed SLO's, implemented a change, and then re-assessed the SLO's (i.e. "closed the loop")?

4 Courses

- How closely have you adhered to your SLO rotational plan? Completely
- 8. Did anything impede your ability to adhere to your SLO rotational plan? (600 characters max)

Not to our knowledge, we are getting good results by reviewing and managing the changes we see needed.

9. How does your program facilitate the achievement of the college's institutional learning outcomes? (600 characters max)

- 10. How many department/program meetings have you held in the previous year in which SLO's have been discussed?
 - 3
- 11. Are you able to improve the student learning outcomes for your program (i.e. number of SLO's assessed, adherence to rotational plan, student SLO attainment, etc.)? Yes



Section F - Budget

- Have there been any significant changes in your program's budget over the past 3 years? Yes
- 2. How have these changes impacted student learning? (600 characters max)

We are able to offer the students a better laboratory experience with modern tools and equipment.



Section G - Previous Year Initiatives

| Program | Funding Category | Initiative ID | Initiative Title | Initiative Description | Cost | Grants/ Categorical | College Funds | Program Priority | Division Priority | Committee Priority | College Priority | Funded | Status | Outcome |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|--------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|--|
| Manufactur ing | General Fund | MT 1404 | Replace CNC Machine | upgrade / replace 1999 vintage CNC | 60,000 | | 60,000 | Н | L | L | L | No | Ongoing | |
| MT-A | NA | .MT1301- 2014/15 | Facilities Maintenanc e and Survey | review manufacturin g labs and lecture space for safety and efficiency | none | NA | | Ŧ | | | | N/A | Ongoing | department continues to review facilities and laboratory layout |
| MT-B | NA | MT1302- 2014/15 | Curriculum Content and Developmen t | review currriculum and class schedule to remove barriors to success | none | NA | | Η | | | | N/A | Ongoing | streamlined class schedule, ongoing review |
| MT-C | NA | MT1303- 2014/15 | Curriculum Review | Review curriculum to remove causes for students not completing courses | none | NA | | | | | | N/A | Ongoing | increase course content and reivew of basic concepts weeks 1-4 |



Section H – 2015-2016 Initiatives

| Program | Initiative ID | Initiative Title | Initiative Description | Cost | Funding Source | Initiative Category | Educational Master Plan Goal | Expected Improvement | Program Priority | Division Priority | Committee Priority | College Priority |
|---------|-------------------|--|---|------|----------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| MT | MT1601- 2015/6 | increase student success | remove barriers to student success, followup with course completers | NA | None | Other | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment H Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ Certificates Close equity gaps | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |
| MT | MT1602- 2015/6 | increase course success and enrollment numbers | Increased enrollment will be supported by better connectionand more information about the program to the high school and general Ventura County manufacturing community through groups such as VC innovates and | NA | None | Other | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment H Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ Certificates Close equity gaps | ☐Req ☐High ⊠Med ☐Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |



| | | | the VCWDB. | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------|--|--|------|----------------|---------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | Initiative ID | Initiative Title | Initiative Description | Cost | Funding Source | Initiative Category | Educational Master Plan Goal | Expected Improvement | Program Priority | Division Priority | Committee Priority | College Priority |
| MT | MT1603- 2015/6 | increase program enrollment numbers | Increased enrollment will be supported by better connections and more information about the program to the high school and general Ventura County manufacturing community through groups such as VC | NA | None | Other | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment H Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ Certificates Close equity gaps | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |



| MT | MT1604- 2015/6 | SLO Review | innovates and the VCWDB. review course SLOS and update to fit changes in course content and industry expectations or requirements | NA | None | Other | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment # Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ Certificates | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |
|---------|--------------------|------------------|---|------|----------------|---------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Program | Initiative ID | Initiative Title | Initiative Description | Cost | Funding Source | Initiative Category | Educational Master Plan Goal | Close equity gaps tubected Exbected Exbert | Program Priority | Division Priority | Committee Priority | College Priority |
| MT | MT1605- 2015/16 | NEW FACULTY | New position for Applied Science Program | 75K | College Funds | Faculty | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment # Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |



2015-2016 Program Review Manufacturing Technology

| | | | | | Certificates Close equity gaps | | | | |
|--|--|------------|------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | - Select - | - Select - | Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 5 | Enrollment H Under- represented students Course Success Rate Productivity/ Fill Rate Degrees/ Certificates Close equity gaps | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low | Req High Med Low |



Educational Master Plan Goals

Goal 1: Continuously improve educational programs and services to meet student, community, and workforce development needs.

Goal 2: Provide students with information and access to diverse and comprehensive support services that lead to their success.

Goal 3: Partner with local and regional organizations to achieve mutual goals and strengthen the College, the community and the area's economic vitality.

Goal 4: Continuously enhance institutional operations and effectiveness.

Goal 5: Implement the Ventura College East Campus Educational Plan.



Section I – Process Assessment

How have the changes in the program review process this year worked for your area?

How would you improve the program review process based on this experience?

Appeals

After the program review process is complete, your program has the right to appeal the ranking of initiatives (i.e. initiatives that should have been ranked high but were not, initiatives that were ranked high but should not have been), the division's decision to support/not support program discontinuance, or the process (either within the department/program or the division) itself.

If you choose to appeal, please complete the Appeals form (Appendix E) that explains and supports your position. Forms are located at the Program Review VC website.

The appeal will be handled at the next higher level of the program review process.

<u>Section I – Submission Verification</u> Preparer:

Dates met (include email discussions):

List of Faculty who participated in the program Review Process:

Preparer Verification:

I verify that this program document was completed in accordance with the program review process. **Dean Verification:**

I verify that I have reviewed this program review document and find it complete. *The dean may also provide comments (optional):*



APPEAL FORM

The program review appeals process is available to any faculty, staff, or administrator who feels strongly that the prioritization of initiatives (i.e. initiatives that were not ranked high but should have been, initiatives that were ranked high but should not have been), the decision to support or not support program discontinuance, or the process followed by the division should be reviewed by the College Planning Council.

 Appeal submitted by: (name and program)

 Date:

 Date:

 Category for appeal:

 Faculty

 Personnel – Other

 Equipment- Computer

 Equipment – Other

 Facilities

 Operating Budget

 Program Discontinuance

 Other (Please specify)

Briefly explain the process that was used to prioritize the initiative(s) being appealed:

Briefly explain the rationale for asking that the prioritization of an initiative/resource request be changed:

Appeals will be heard by the College Planning Council. You will be notified of your time to present.